Environmental Health and Safety



211 South Jarvis Street, Suite 102 | Mail Stop 207 East Carolina University | Greenville, NC 27858-4353

252-328-6166 office | 252-737-1458 fax | safety@ecu.edu | www.ecu.edu/oehs

September 3, 2019

Dr. Susan Pearce Associate Professor and Interim Chair Department of Sociology

Re: Indoor Environmental Assessment Report

Brewster Building Wing A

Executive Summary

An indoor environmental quality assessment was conducted in the Brewster Building (Wing A) within the period of June to August 2019. The assessment was conducted in response to reports of health concerns from faculty. The scope of assessment included visual assessment, facility inspection, air monitoring, water sampling and employee interviews. The assessment results, along with guidance from US Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) among others, was used to formulate the conclusions and recommendations outlined in this report.

Regulatory Interpretations

There is no single test to find the cause of indoor air/environmental quality problem. The US Occupational Safety and Health Administration recommends a "building walkthrough, inspection and testing of the ventilation system, checks for odors and look for water damage, leaks, dirt, etc." It also recommends measurements of temperature, relative humidity, volatile organic compounds, airflow, etc. These recommendations form the basis of this assessment.

Brewster Building

The Brewster building is located on ECU Main Campus along East 10th Street. The building is situated between the Fletcher Music Building and Christenbury Gymnasium. It was constructed in 1970 and has approximately 80,000 square feet, with four separate wings, A-D. This assessment was performed at the A-wing of the building which has four floors that house offices for the departments of History, Geography, Philosophy, Political Science, Sociology, Economics, Religious Studies, the Testing Center and Women's Studies Program. The A-wing is the primary office wing for the facility and the central location for the health concerns.

Complaints

On Thursday, June 20, 2019, Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S) was notified about "building health issues from current and former faculty that seem unusual". The primary concern was that multiple faculty in the Brewster Building had pancreatic cancer and were wondering if there was a connection to the building. It was requested that a building health assessment be conducted to evaluate possible health issues that may be present in the building.

On Friday, June 21, 2019, Ogaga Tebehaevu, EH&S Industrial Hygiene Specialist, responded by scheduling an indoor environmental assessment to evaluate the quality of the building's environment in relation to the health and wellbeing of its occupants. The scope of assessment includes the following:

- Research on contaminants potentially associated with health concerns
- Walkthrough visual assessment
- Facilities Inspection: HVAC and Plumbing
- Air quality parameter survey (temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, total volatile organic compounds and Gamma radiation emission)
- Water quality analysis (physical parameters) by Greenville Utilities Commission
- Water quality analysis inorganic chemical and bacteriological chemical analysis
- EPA TO-15 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) sampling
- Radon testing
- Employee Interviews

Walkthrough Visual Assessment

On Monday, June 24, 2019, Edward Johnson, ECU Assistant Director of Prospective Health, joined Ogaga Tebehaevu of EH&S to do a walkthrough visual assessment. The team inspected selected rooms (offices) on all four floors and the external part of the building for health and safety issues. Specifically, the visual assessment checked for roof leaks; leaks and/or damage of walls, floor and ceiling tiles; mold and mildew, carpet condition, lighting and visibility issues, office layout and ergonomics; HVAC equipment (supply and return); odor, substance off-gassing and the general office ambience. The ductwork, air handling units and plumbing facilities were not inspected, as those were left to Facilities Services teams. No major issue was found, except for surface dirt, cobwebs and dust on windows, crevices and bookshelves. Some of the offices, including A-123, A-132, A-409, have round electrical plates (see photos in appendix) which were once used as cable duct bank. The supply vents in some of the offices were dirty. The A/C units at some offices were running continuously which made them a lot cooler than others. The team did not find any visible signs of mold or conditions that could compromise the indoor environmental quality. Outside the building, the air intake was clear and clean with no chemical sources in close proximity that could be entrained into the building. Within the outside environment, no activity or construction work was going on that could compromise the air intake.

Air quality parameter survey (temperature, relative humidity, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, total volatile organic compounds and Gamma radiation)

On June 24, 2019 (same day), survey measurements were made for ventilation and comfort parameters (carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, temperature, relative humidity and total volatile organic compounds). These were measured with direct-reading instruments which were calibrated before and after monitoring. The TSI IAQ-CALC (S/N T75251114001) indoor air quality monitor was used to measure temperature, relative humidity, and carbon dioxide in twelve (12) different rooms spread across the building (see appendix for data). The Rae Systems MultiRae pro (S/N M01FA06477) was used to measure carbon monoxide, total volatile organic compounds (TVOCs), and gamma radiation levels. Measurements were collected at approximately 5 feet from floor level to represent occupants'

breathing zone for sampling periods ranging from approximately 3-5 minutes per sample location. Results of the sampling indicated that air temperatures ranged between 71.7 – 75.4 °F indoor. This temperature range is acceptable according to the American Society of Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) standard 55-2013, which recommends a summer temperature range of 73 to 79 °F in occupied areas.

The indoor relative humidity ranged between 53.0% - 61.0%. The ASHRAE 55-2013 standard recommends an indoor relative humidity range of 30 – 60%. Outdoor conditions were clear with an average recorded temperature of 85.9°F and slightly high relative humidity of 70%.

The maximum Carbon dioxide (CO₂) level measured was 544 <u>parts per million</u> (ppm). According to ASHRAE standard, carbon dioxide levels should not exceed a differential of 700 ppm with outdoor air. Simply put, an indoor carbon dioxide concentration should not exceed the sum of the outdoor concentration plus 700ppm. Since the outside CO₂ level was found to be 348 ppm, the acceptable maximum indoor concentration should not be greater than 1048ppm (348ppm plus 700ppm). Thus, the 544ppm maximum concentration measured was within ASHRAE recommendation.

Carbon monoxide and total volatile organic compounds (TVOCs) were negligible – concentration was less than zero ppm for the period measured. Gamma radiation was constantly at background levels of 10urem/h (microrem/hour). According to the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP), the average annual radiation dose per person in the United States is 620 millirem.

Moisture content was checked for all rooms surveyed. Levels detected were normal – 2% on the scale of the protimeter surveymaster instrument (SMI). Thermal imaging on walls detected using the fluke infrared thermometer ranged between 68°F to 73°F, which is normal.

Real time aerosol dust levels were monitored using the TSI Dusttrak 8520. Real-time mass concentration readout indicated a maximum concentration of 0.04mg/m³ (milligram of dust per cubic meter of air) which is negligible when compared to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) standard of 10mg/m³ Time Weighted Average.

No mold sampling was done as no active moisture sources or visible growth was identified during the survey. We relied on visual assessment (discussed above) to identify moisture source and/or elevated levels of humidity. The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services (Division of Public Health – Epidemiology Section) does not recommend mold sampling during mold investigations. Mold is always present to some degree indoors as a result of transport of spores from outdoors. Visual inspection is recommended to identify mold growth and moisture issues contributing to its growth. Furthermore, there is no consensus on qualitative limits for mold exposure, so sampling to characterize human exposure and risk can provide uncertain and often misleading results.

Facilities Inspection: HVAC and Plumbing

On Wednesday June 26, 2019, Facilities Services HVAC and Plumbing Supervisors were formally requested to conduct a detailed inspection of HVAC and Plumbing facility issues at the A-wing of the building. An excerpt of the email sent, and responses received are posted below.

From: Tebehaevu, Ogaga Jonathan

Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2019 2:26 PM

To: Derrick Anderson (ANDERSOND@ecu.edu)

Subject: Plumbing Inspection in Brewster A-Wing

Importance: High

Good afternoon, Derrick!

Our office is conducting a building health assessment at the A-Wing of Brewster (all 4 floors) due to reports of health issues in the building. As part of this effort, we would like to request an inspection of the plumbing system in the building. We wanted to make sure there are no issues or leaks with its vents, pipes, fountains, fixtures, drainage, sewers or any other component that may be introducing unwanted materials into the building. We are conducting a portable water test, so you don't have to worry about this. But we will appreciate all other checks that you know are necessary as far as health and safety is concerned. Please let us know what you find in your inspection and/or what needs to be corrected (if any), so we can include those as part of our recommendations. Thank you for your attention to this.

From: Anderson, Derrick < ANDERSOND@ecu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2019 9:31 AM

To: Tebehaevu, Ogaga Jonathan <TEBEHAEVUO15@ECU.EDU>

Subject: RE: Plumbing Inspection in Brewster A-Wing

Good Morning Ogaga, I was gone on vacation but before I left, we couldn't seem to find any problem with the plumbing on A-wing.

DERRICK ANDERSON
PLUMBING SUPERVISOR

From: Tebehaevu, Ogaga Jonathan Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2019 2:46 PM

To: Christopher L Phelps (PHELPSC@ecu.edu) < PHELPSC@ecu.edu>

Cc: Schmit, Wilhelm R, Jr < Subject: HVAC Inspection in Brewster A-Wing">Subject: HVAC Inspection in Brewster A-Wing

Good afternoon, Chris,

Our office is conducting a building health assessment at the A-Wing of Brewster (all 4 floors) due to reports of health issues in the building. As part of this effort, we would like to request an inspection of all HVAC components in the building. We would like to have a confirmation that components such as air ducts, air filters, air cleaner, coils, registers/grills, drain pans, air filters, air plenum, etc., are in good condition; including the mechanical rooms. Please let us know what you find in your inspection and whatever it is that needs to be fixed.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

From: Phelps, Christopher L < PHELPSC@ecu.edu>

Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2019 8:50 AM

To: Tebehaevu, Ogaga Jonathan < TEBEHAEVUO15@ECU.EDU>

Cc: Schmit, Wilhelm R, Jr <SCHMITW@ecu.edu>; Faulkner, Chad <FAULKNERC@ecu.edu>

Subject: RE: HVAC Inspection in Brewster A-Wing

My guys are changing filters again over at Brewster in all wings. Thus far I have not seen anything out of the ordinary with the HVAC system. Yes, when looking inside the air handlers you will see accumulated amount of dust and dirt that has developed over the many years but that is expected. As far as I know we have not had any complaints with mold blowing in the areas.

CHRISTOPHER L. PHELPS HVAC SUPERVISOR

Water quality analysis: physical, inorganic chemical and bacteriological parameters

On Tuesday July 30, 2019, the building's water quality was sampled during normal business hours. Samples were collected from sink in the men's bathroom on the first and fourth floor. A control sample was collected from the men's bathroom in Fletcher Music building. The samples were collected from bathrooms instead of water fountains since sinks are a non-filtered source. The samples were analyzed by Environment 1 Incorporated, a lab certified by the N.C Division of Water Quality and the State Laboratory of Public Health. Results of lab analysis (see appendix below) indicated that physical parameters tested for were normal for both floors sampled. These parameters include pH, Total Chlorine Residual, Iron, Manganese, Alkalinity, Hardness, Chloride, Coliform bacteria and Fluoride. Heavy metals such as Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium, Lead, Selenium, Nitrates and Nitrites sampled were not detectable. Trace concentration of copper (0.149mg/l) was found which is less than the allowable limit of 1.300mg/l. The bacteriological analysis shows that Total Coliform was absent.

Radon Test

On Monday, August 5, 2019, radon gas was tested for using the Air Check Inc., radon test kit. The kits were set up at three locations – A103, A311 and A402 – for a period of 72 hours. Only radon in the air was tested for as recommended by the North Carolina Radon Program. Radon in water test may be necessary when there are elevated levels in the air. The results from lab analysis show a range of less than 0.3 to 0.6pCi/L (Picocuries per liter). According to the ¹US EPA, the average indoor radon level is estimated to be about 1.3pCi/L; roughly 0.4pCi/L is normally found outside. The US EPA action level for indoor radon is 4pCi/L. See appendix below for complete test results.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Air

On Tuesday, August 6, 2019, volatile organic compounds in the air were sampled for using EPA TO-15 Method. This method measures a subset of 97 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that are included in the 189 hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) listed in the Clean Air Act Amendment of 1990. Monitoring was done using the 1.4L 8-hour canister from EMSL Analytical Inc., a nationally accredited lab. The sample was taken for a period of 8 hours in closed doors. Results of lab analysis is presented in the appendix section below. Of the 161 compounds analyzed, 145 of them were not detected, which indicates an extremely low concentration below the sampling analytical limit. The other 16 compounds reported were indicative of background levels that are well below the OSHA and ²NIOSH exposure limits.

Employee interviews

As part of the assessment effort, we informally interviewed a few employees to check for possible symptoms of indoor air quality issues. All employees interviewed have been occupying the building in the last three years. The majority of the employees claimed they were fine, but a few of them complained that their offices are sometimes too cold and that it triggers their allergies. One employee reported that dry throat and light headaches previously occurred but that it has stopped. The other issues reported could be characterized as housekeeping issues like dirty carpet, dusty furniture, etc.

¹ https://www.epa.gov/radon/health-risk-radon

² NIOSH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

History of Indoor Environmental Issues in Brewster A-Wing

In the last seven years, Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S) has received two complaints of poor indoor air quality in Brewster A-Wing. In October 2012, an employee reported that air vents in the office were getting dirty quickly which was resulting in sneezing. Facilities Services resolved the issue by cleaning the affected vents. In addition, EH&S conducted an indoor air quality assessment and found no major health issues. In April 2019, another faculty member expressed concern of mold in the office. EH&S and Prospective Health investigated the issue through visual assessment and air quality testing but did not find visible signs of mold or issues with the air quality.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Environmental Health and Safety conducted an indoor environmental quality assessment in response to concerns of building health issues in Brewster A-wing. An indoor environmental assessment and facility inspections were conducted to establish a baseline indoor air quality profile. Visual inspection revealed no significant health issues at the time of the assessment. HVAC and Plumbing Supervisors in Facilities Services confirmed they did not find issues except for the air handling units that are dusty. Air sampling results were within normal recommended standards. There were some issues noted during informal interviews with employees which will be investigated.

Based on our observations, we have provided a few recommendations to improve conditions in the building:

- 1. Consider submitting a work order with the HVAC shop to clean debris from vents (supply and return).
- 2. Do not block air vents to divert cool air. Kindly contact HVAC shop to fix issues as necessary. HVAC may install air deflectors if necessary, instead of blocking the vents.
- 3. Report temperature fluctuation and extremely cold offices to HVAC shop.
- 4. Keep temperature setpoints within recommended limits.
- Coordinate with Housekeeping to clean offices with dirt and cobwebs. Dusty carpets should also be vacuumed.
- 6. Report future indoor environmental issues to EH&S by contacting us directly at safety@ecu.edu or (252) 328-6166.
- 7. All facilities issues should be reported to Facilities Services through the work order system.
- 8. EH&S may reevaluate the area in the future if conditions change or suggest the need for a reassessment.

Qualifications and Limitations

This report summarizes EH&S evaluation of the conditions observed in Brewster Building Wing A. The findings are based upon our observations and results of sampling obtained at the time of the assessment. The report and its results are limited to the information available at the time it was prepared and investigated. Conditions may have been in effect prior to the sampling events that have changed over time and which cannot be predicted within the scope of this limited investigation. Any condition discovered in this report which deviates from the data obtained should be reported to Environmental Health and Safety.

This report is intended for the A wing of Brewster building. The findings and results should not be applied in part or whole to other buildings in Brewster or East Carolina University or elsewhere. The findings and results are relative to the dates of the investigation and should not be relied upon for substantially later dates.

For questions on this report, please contact Ogaga Tebehaevu, CIH, CSP, of Environmental Health and Safety at (252) 328-6166 or email safety@ecu.edu



Indoor Air Quality Measurements Date of Survey: June 24, 2019

Sample Location	Temperature (Avg-°F)	Relative Humidity (Avg-%)	Carbon Dioxide (Avg-ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)	VOCs (ppm)	Gamma Radiation (urem/hr)	Comments
A-131	75.4	53.0	513	0	0	11	Vent stained
A-132	74.4	53.7	544	0	0	12	Cable duct bank 'manhole' found in room
A-123	74.0	53.9	508	0	0	13	
A-120	73.0	54.2	502	0	0	13	Tape on supply vent. Small duct bank found
Hallway on A-Wing	72.5	55.0	502	0	0	12	
A-115	72.9	56.4	505	0	0	10	Carpet buckled
A-422	73.9	54.4	489	0	0	10	Tape on supply vent
A-409	73.6	60.4	490	0	0	10	Small round cable duct bank found. Slightly high indoor humidity
A-417	72.9	60.0	500	0	0	11	Cable bank found. Vents taped
A-418	73.1	61.0	496	0	0	11	Supply vents blocked with cardboard paper; space heater found. Slightly high indoor humidity
A-336	73.1	59.7	509	0	0	10	
A-334	72.0	56.5	499	0	0	10	Room very cold. Air temperatures 68°F.
A-330	71.7	56.9	496	0	0	11	Debris, cobwebs and stains
Outside Conditions	85.9	70.4	348	0	0	11	
Acceptable ranges	73-79	30-60	<1000	<50	<1.0	≤5000 (mrem)/yr.	

Brewster Building A Wing



114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

WATER SYSTEM ID#;	COUNTY: PITT
-------------------	--------------

Name of Water System: EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

Sample Type: (x) Entry Point () Special/Non-compliance
Location Where Collected: BREWSTER BUILDING (1ST FLOOR)

Facility ID No.:

Sample Point: 001

Collected By: OGAGA TEBEHAEVU

Mail Results to (water system representative):

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY ATTN: PHILLIP LEWIS 211 SOUTH JARVIS STREET

SUITE 102

GREENVILLE, NC 27858

Collection Date Collection Time
07/30/19 10:26 AM

Phone #: (252) 238-6166

Fax #:

Responsible Person's Email:

LABORATORY ID#: 3 7 7 1 5 () SAMPLE UNSATISFACTORY () RESAMPLE REQUESTED

CONTAM	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
0100	Turbidity	2130B	0.100 ntu		ntu	N/A
1005	Arsenic	200.8	0.005 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.010 mg/l
1010	Barium	200.8	0.4 mg/l		mg/l	2.000 mg/l
1015	Cadmium	200.8	0.001 mg/1	х	mg/l	0.005 mg/l
1016	Calcium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1017	Chloride	4500CLDE-B	5.0 mg/l		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1020	Chromium	200.8	0.020 mg/l	x	mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1022	Copper	200.8	0.050 mg/l		0.097 mg/l	1.300 mg/l
1024	Cyanide	4500CN-E	0.050 mg/l		mg/l	0.200 mg/l
1025	Fluoride	4500F-C	0.100 mg/l		mg/l	4.000 mg/l
1028	Iron	3111B	0.060 mg/l		mg/l	0.300 mg/l
1030	Lead	200.8	0.003 mg/l	x	mg/l	0.015 mg/l
1031	Magnesium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1032	Manganese	200.8	0.010 mg/l		mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1035	Mercury	200.8	0.0004 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs.

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

(continued)

WATER SYSTEM ID#:

Name of Water System: EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

Facility ID No.:

Sample Point: 001

Collection Date Collection Time

07/30/19 10:26 AM

LABORATORY ID#: 37715

CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LIMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
1036	Nickel	200.8	0.100 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1040	Nitrate	353.2	1.00 mg/l	x	mg/l	10.00 mg/l
1041	Nitrite	353.2	0.10 mg/l	х	mg/l	1.00 mg/l
1045	Selenium	200.8	0.010 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1050	Silver	200.8	0.05 mg/l		mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1052	Sodium	200.8	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1055	Sulfate	4500SO4-E	15.0 mg/l		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1068	Acidity	2310B	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1074	Antimony	200.8	0.003 mg/l		mg/l	0.006 mg/l
1075	Beryllium	200.8	0.002 mg/l		mg/l	0.004 mg/l
1085	Thallium	200.8	0.001 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l
1095	Zinc	200.8	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	5.0 mg/l
1905	Color	2120B	N/A		units	15 units
1915	Total Hardness	2340C	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1925	PH	4500H-B	N/A		pН	6.5-8.5 units
1927	Alkalinity	2320B	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1930	Total Dissolved Solids	2540C	10.0 mg/l		mg/l	500.0 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs.

	DATE:	TIME:
ANALYSES BEGUN:	07/30/19	12:18 PM
ANALYSES COMPLETED:	08/08/19	11:49 AM

Laboratory Log #: 9018-073019-001W

Certified By: MAO

COMMENTS:

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

Collection Time

10:36 AM

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

	Laronomic chilino
WATER SYSTEM ID#:	COUNTY: PITT
Name of Water System: EAST CAROLI	NA UNIVERSITY
Sample Type: (x) Entry Point () Special/Non-compliance
Location Where Collected: BREWSTER	BUILDING(4TH FLOOR)
Facility ID No.:	
Sample Point: 002	

Collected By: OGAGA TEBEHAEVU

Mail Results to (water system representative):

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY ATTN: PHILLIP LEWIS 211 SOUTH JARVIS STREET SUITE 102 GREENVILLE, NC 27858

Phone #: (252) 238-6166

Collection Date

07/30/19

Fax #:

Responsible Person's Email:

LABORATORY ID#: 3 7 7 1 5 () SAMPLE UNSATISFACTORY () RESAMPLE REQUESTED

CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
0100	Turbidity	2130B	0.100 ntu		ntu	N/A
1005	Arsenic	200.8	0.005 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.010 mg/l
1010	Barium	200.8	0.4 mg/l		mg/l	2.000 mg/l
1015	Cadmium	200.8	0.001 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.005 mg/l
1016	Calcium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1017	Chloride	4500CLDE-B	5.0 mg/l		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1020	Chromium	200.8	0.020 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1022	Copper	200.8	0.050 mg/l		0.150 mg/l	1.300 mg/l
1024	Cyanide	4500CN-E	0.050 mg/l		mg/l	0.200 mg/l
1025	Fluoride	4500F-C	0.100 mg/l		mg/l	4.000 mg/l
1028	Iron	3111B	0.060 mg/l		mg/l	0.300 mg/l
1030	Lead	200.8	0.003 mg/l	х	mg/1	0.015 mg/l
1031	Magnesium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1032	Manganese	200.8	0.010 mg/l		mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1035	Mercury	200.8	0.0004 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs.

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

(continued)

WATER SYSTEM ID#:

Name of Water System: EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

Facility ID No .:

Sample Point: 002

Collection Date Collection Time 07/30/19

10:36 AM

LABORATORY ID#: 37715

CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LIMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
1036	Nickel	200.8	0.100 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1040	Nitrate	353.2	1.00 mg/l	x	mg/l	10.00 mg/l
1041	Nitrite	353.2	0.10 mg/l	х	mg/l	1.00 mg/l
1045	Selenium	200.8	0.010 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1050	Silver	200.8	0.05 mg/l		mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1052	Sodium	200.8	1.0 mg/l	The same of the same	mg/l	N/A
1055	Sulfate	4500SO4-E	15.0 mg/l		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1068	Acidity	2310B	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1074	Antimony	200.8	0.003 mg/l		mg/l	0.006 mg/l
1075	Beryllium	200.8	0.002 mg/l		mg/l	0.004 mg/l
1085	Thallium	200.8	0.001 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l
1095	Zinc	200.8	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	5.0 mg/l
1905	Color	2120B	N/A		units	15 units
1915	Total Hardness	2340C	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1925	PH	4500H-B	N/A		pH	6.5-8.5 units
1927	Alkalinity	2320B	1,0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1930	Total Dissolved Solids	2540C	10.0 mg/l		mg/l	500,0 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs.

	DATE:	TIME:	
ANALYSES BEGUN:	07/30/19	12:13 PM	
ANALYSES COMPLETED:	08/08/19	11:49 AM	

Laboratory Log #: 9018-073019-002W

Certified By: MAO

COMMENTS:

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

Collection Time

10:47 AM

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

WATER SYSTEM ID#:			COUNTY: PITT
Name of Water System:	EAST	CAROLINA	UNIVERSITY

Sample Type: (x) Entry Point () Special/Non-compliance

Location Where Collected: FLETCHER MUSIC CENTER

Facility ID No.: Sample Point: 003

Collected By: OGAGA TEBEHAEVU

Mail Results to (water system representative):

EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY ATTN: PHILLIP LEWIS 211 SOUTH JARVIS STREET SUITE 102

GREENVILLE, NC 27858

Collection Date

07/30/19

Phone #: (252) 238-6166

Fax #:

Responsible Person's Email:

LABORATORY ID#: 3 7 7 1 5 () SAMPLE UNSATISFACTORY () RESAMPLE REQUESTED

CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
0100	Turbidity	2130B	0.100 ntu		ntu	N/A
1005	Arsenic	200.8	0.005 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.010 mg/l
1010	Barium	200.8	0.4 mg/l		mg/l	2.000 mg/l
1015	Cadmium	200.8	0.001 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.005 mg/l
1016	Calcium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1017	Chloride	4500CLDE-B	5.0 mg/1		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1020	Chromium	200.8	0.020 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1022	Copper	200.8	0.050 mg/l		0.149 mg/l	1.300 mg/l
1024	Cyanide	4500CN-E	0.050 mg/l		mg/l	0.200 mg/l
1025	Fluoride	4500F-C	0.100 mg/l		mg/l	4.000 mg/l
1028	Iron	3111B	0.060 mg/l		mg/l	0.300 mg/l
1030	Lead	200.8	0.003 mg/l	х	mg/l	0.015 mg/l
1031	Magnesium	200.7	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1032	Manganese	200.8	0.010 mg/l		mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1035	Mercury	200.8	0.0004 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs,

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858 PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

NEW WELL INORGANIC CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

(continued)

WATER SYSTEM ID#:

Name of Water System: EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

Facility ID No.:

Sample Point: 003

Collection Date Collection Time

07/30/19 10:47 AM

LABORATORY ID#: 37715

CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	REQUIRED REPORTING LIMIT (R.R.L.)	NOT DETECTED ABOVE R.R.L. (X)	QUANTIFIED RESULTS	ALLOWABLE LIMIT *
1036	Nickel	200.8	0.100 mg/l	0	mg/l	N/A
1040	Nitrate	353.2	1.00 mg/l	x	mg/l	10.00 mg/l
1041	Nitrite	353.2	0.10 mg/l	х	mg/l	1.00 mg/l
1045	Selenium	200.8	0.010 mg/l	x	mg/l	0.050 mg/l
1050	Silver	200.8	0.05 mg/l		mg/l	0.100 mg/l
1052	Sodium	200.8	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1055	Sulfate	4500SO4-E	15.0 mg/l		mg/l	250.0 mg/l
1068	Acidity	2310B	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1074	Antimony	200.8	0.003 mg/l		mg/l	0.006 mg/l
1075	Beryllium	200.8	0.002 mg/l		mg/l	0.004 mg/l
1085	Thallium	200.8	0.001 mg/l		mg/l	0.002 mg/l
1095	Zinc	200.8	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	5.0 mg/l
1905	Color	2120B	N/A		units	15 units
1915	Total Hardness	2340C	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1925	PH	4500H-B	N/A		pH	6.5-8.5 units
1927	Alkalinity	2320B	1.0 mg/l		mg/l	N/A
1930	Total Dissolved Solids	2540C	10.0 mg/l		mg/l	500.0 mg/l

^{*} NOTE: Concentrations for Lead and Copper are action levels, not MCLs.

ANALYSES BEGUN:	DATE:	TIME:
	07/30/19	12:17 PM
ANALYSES COMPLETED:	08/08/19	11:49 AM

Laboratory Log #: 9018-073019-003W

Certified By: MAO

COMMENTS:

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858

PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

			BACTE	RIOLO	GICAL ANA	ALYSIS		
WATER	SYSTEM ID#: NC		C	County: PI	TT		CUSTOMER ID#:	8999 T
Name of	Water System: EAST	CAROLINA	UNIVERS	ITY		Syst	em Type:	Water Source: G
[X] Distri	bution System – Revis	ed Total Colif	orm Rule (RTCR)				
Sample Ty	pe: [] Routine (RT)	[] Repeat	(RP) [X	.] Special/N	on-compliance (SP)		
Facility II	D: D01 Location	Code: B01	Тар	Location: 1	BREWSTER BU	ILDING (1	ST FLOOR)	
Location :	Street Address:				Location	City:		
Check if s	ample site is owned or	controlled by	water syste	em. []				
Check if s	ample site is a daycare	or a K-12 sch	ool. []					
Sample Po	oint: [] Routine Origin	nal (RTOR)	Repeat (Original (R)	POR) [1 Repe	at Unstream	(RPUP) [] Repeat Do	own (RPDN)
	e Water – Ground Wat			8(ord [] respec	ат органия	(id or) [] Repeat De	WII (KI DIV)
Sample Tvi	ne: [] Triggered (TC	(i) [] Additi	onal/Confi	rmation (C	O) [] Agggo	mont (DT)	[] Triggered/Dist Re	(DD) 4
			onar conn	imation (C	O) [] Assess	sment (R1)	for systems with a	peat (RP) * population <= 1,000
Facility II): Samp	le Point:						
Collected	By: ogaga tebeha	EVU			Coll	lection Date	Collec	ction Time
Mail Resu	lts to (water system rep	presentative);			07	7/30/19	10	:26 AM
	OGAGA TEBEHAEVU 211 S JARVIS ST SUITE 102 GREENVILLE, NC				Complete for F Previous Positi Previous Positi Previous Positi Previous Positi	ve Lab ID N ve Lab Log ve Location	#: Code:	onfirmation Samples:
Phone #: Fax #:	(252) 328-6166				Disinfectant Us			
	le Person's email:				Total Chlorine Free Chlorine F	,		mg/l mg/l
LA	ABORATORY ID#: 3	7715 [] Repea	at Samples	Required From	Client [] Resample Require	ed From Client
CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	RULE	Present 1,2	ESULTS Absent	Invalid Code	INVALID COL	DES
3100	Total Coliform	9223B	RTCR/GWR		х		Confluent Growth / No Co TNTC/ No Coliform Grov	
3014	E. coli		RTCR/GWR				3) Turbid Culture / No Colife	
3001	Heterotrophic P.C. 3	4) Over 30 Hours Old P.C. 3 cfu/mL or MPN 5) Improper Sample or Analysis 4						
3 I	If E. coli, enterococci or coli if total coliform bacteria is p if HPC is absent, enter a "0" explain invalid code below is	resent, lab must re left of the "cfu/m	eport results t	 State within 	48 hours			
	Log #: 8999-07301			AN	ALYSES BEG	UN:	DATE: 07/30/19	TIME: 04:00 PM
Certified b	_			_	ALYSES COM		07/31/19	04:00 PM
Cerunea b	y. DAB							

FORM 2016

Comments:

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858

Comments:

FORM 2016

PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

			BACTERIO	OLOGICAL ANA	LYSIS				
WATER S	SYSTEM ID#: NC		Count	ty: PITT		CUSTOMER ID#:	8999 T		
Name of V	Vater System: EAST	CAROLINA U	JNIVERSITY		System	Type:	Water Source: GW		
[X] Distrib	oution System - Revise	ed Total Colife	orm Rule (RTC	CR)					
Sample Typ	e: [] Routine (RT)	[] Repeat ((RP) [X] Sp	ecial/Non-compliance (S)	P)				
Facility ID	: D01 Location	Code: B02	Tap Loca	ation: BREWSTER BUI	LDING (4TH	FLOOR)			
Location S	Street Address:			Location	City:				
Check if sa	ample site is owned or	controlled by	water system.	[]					
Check if sa	ample site is a daycare	or a K-12 scho	ool. []						
Sample Po	oint: [] Routine Origin	al (RTOR) [Repeat Original	inal (RPOR) [] Repeat	t Upstream (F	RPUP) [] Repeat Do	wn (RPDN)		
[] Source	e Water - Ground Wat	er Rule (GWR)						
Sample Typ	oe: [] Triggered (TG) [] Addition	onal/Confirma	tion (CO) [] Assessr	nent (RT) [eat (RP) * population ≈ 1,000		
Facility ID	: Sampl	e Point:							
Collected I	By: OGAGA TEBEHA	EVU		Colle	ection Date	Collec	tion Time		
Mail Resul	lts to (water system rep	resentative):		07	/30/19	10	:35 AM		
Mail Results to (water system representative): OGAGA TEBEHAEVU 211 S JARVIS ST SUITE 102 GREENVILLE, NC 27858				Previous Positiv Previous Positiv Previous Positiv	Complete for Repeat, Triggered, or Additional/Confirmation Sample Previous Positive Lab ID Number: Previous Positive Lab Log #: Previous Positive Location Code: Previous Positive Collection Date:				
Fax #:	(252) 328-6166 le Person's email:			Total Chlorine F	Disinfectant Used: Total Chlorine Residual (Chloramines): mg/l Free Chlorine Residual (Chlorine): mg/l				
LA	BORATORY ID#: 3	7715 [] Repeat Sa	imples Required From	Client [Resample Require	ed From Client		
CONTAM CODE	CONTAMINANT	METHOD CODE	RULE Pr	RESULTS resent 1,2 Absent	Invalid Code	INVALID COD	ES		
3100	Total Coliform	9223B	RTCR/GWR	х	2)	Confluent Growth / No Co FNTC/ No Coliform Grow	rth Found		
3014	E. coli		RTCR/GWR		1 .	Furbid Culture / No Colife Over 30 Hours Old	orm Growth Found		
3001 Heterotrophic P.C. ³ cfu/mL or MPN ⁵ Improper Sample or Analysis ⁴									
² I	f total coliform bacteria is p	resent, lab must re left of the "cfu/m	eport results to Sta	sults to the State on day test c ate within 48 hours. if present, enter a whole num		DATE:	TIME:		
Laboratory	Log#: 8999-0730	L9-B02		ANALYSES BEGU	UN:	07/30/19	04:00 PM		
Certified by				ANALYSES COM	PLETED:	07/31/19	04:00 PM		

114 OAKMONT DRIVE GREENVILLE, N.C. 27858

PHONE (252) 756-6208 FAX (252) 756-0633

BACTERIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

	SYSTEM ID#: NC			ounty: PIT	T		CUSTOMER ID#	: 8999 T				
	Water System: EAST					Sy	stem Type:	Water Source: GW				
[X] Distri	bution System – Revise	ed Total Colif	orm Rule (1	RTCR)								
Sample Ty	pe: [] Routine (RT)	[] Repeat	(RP) [X]	Special/Nor	n-compliance (S	SP)						
Facility II	D: D01 Location	Code: F03	Tap 1	Location: F	cation: FLETCHER MUSIC CENTER							
Location S	Street Address:				Location	City:						
Check if s	ample site is owned or	controlled by	water syste	m. []		-						
Check if s	ample site is a daycare	or a K-12 sch	ool. []									
Sample Po	oint: [] Routine Origin	al (RTOR)	Repeat C	Original (RP)	OR) [] Rene	at I Instress	m (RPUP)[] Repeat D	OVER (BDYN)				
	e Water – Ground Water			- Billi	ON) [] Reper	и Оранса	in (Kr Or)[] Kepeat D	OWII (RPDN)				
Sample Typ	e: [] Triggered (TG) [] Additi	onal/Confi	rmation (CO) [] Assess	ment (RT)	[] Triggered/Dist Re	enest (DD) *				
Facility ID					, []163033	mient (201)	* for systems with	a population <= 1,000				
		e Point:										
Collected	By: OGAGA TEBEHAI	ΣVÜ		Γ	Coll	ection Da	te Colle	ection Time				
Mail Resu	lts to (water system rep	resentative):			07	7/30/19	10):47 AM				
	OGAGA TEBEHAEVU 211 S JARVIS ST SUITE 102 GREENVILLE, NC 27858				Complete for Repeat, Triggered, or Additional/Confirmation Samples Previous Positive Lab ID Number: Previous Positive Lab Log #: Previous Positive Location Code: Previous Positive Collection Date:							
Fax #:	(252) 328-6166 le Person's email:			Т	Disinfectant Us Total Chlorine Tree Chlorine F	Residual (Chloramines): Chlorine):	mg/l mg/l				
LA	ABORATORY ID#: 37	715	l Repea	t Samples R	equired From	Client	[] Resample Requir	red From Client				
CONTAM	CONTAMINANT	METHOD	RULE		SULTS	Invalid						
CODE		CODE		Present 1,2	Absent	Code	INVALID CO					
3100	Total Coliform	9223B	RTCR/GWR		x		Confluent Growth / No C TNTC/ No Coliform Gro					
3014	E. coli	la d'avr	RTCR/GWR				Turbid Culture / No Coli Over 30 Hours Old	form Growth Found				
3001	Heterotrophic P.C. 3		17		cfu/mL or N	APN .	5) Improper Sample or Anal	lysis ⁴				
² I	If E. coli, enterococci or colip f total coliform bacteria is pri f HPC is absent, enter a "0" i explain invalid code below in	esent, lab must re left of the "cfu/m	enort results to	State within 4	8 hours		DATE:	TIME				
Laboratory	Log #: 8999-07301	9-F03		ANA	LYSES BEG	UN:	07/30/19	TIME: 04:00 PM				
Certified by	المرابع المرابع	SEINEL	3	ANA	LYSES COM	IPLETED		04:00 PM				
	,											

FORM 2016

Comments:





10801 Southern Loop Blvd, Pineville, NC 28134 Phone/Fax: (704)525-2205 / (704)525-2382 http://www.EMSL.com_charlottelab@emsl.com

Attn: Ogaga Tebehaevu, CSP East Carolina University 211 South Jarvis Street Suite 102 Greenville, NC 27858

Project: Brewster Building

EMSL Order #: 411907932

Customer ID: EACU29

Customer PO: Not Available

411907932-1

Sample ID: Brewster A131

Analysis Initial Analysis Date 08/08/2019 Analyst Init. DK Lab File ID A2766.D Canister ID HD5526 Sample Vol. 250 cc

EMSL Sample #:

Dil. Factor

NIOSH and OSHA Exposure Limit Comparisons

	Tox.			Result Result			NIOSH REL	OSHA PEL		
Target Compounds	Basis	CAS#	MW	ppby	Q	ug/m3	ug/m3	>	ug/m3	>
Propylene	NC	115-07-1	42.08	ND		ND	N.E.	П	N.E.	\top
Freon 12(Dichlorodifluoromethane)	NC	75-71-8	120.90	1.0		5.1	4900000	\Box	4900000	$^{+}$
Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan		76-14-2	170.90	ND		ND	7000000	П	7000000	$^{+}$
Chloromethane	NC	74-87-3	50.49	0.59		1.2	LFC	П	210000	+
n-Butane		106-97-8	58.12	ND		ND	1900000	П	1900000	$^{+}$
Vinyl chloride	С	75-01-4	62.50	ND		ND	LFC	П	2600	\top
1,3-Butadiene	С	106-99-0	54.09	ND		ND	LFC	П	2200	\top
Bromomethane	NC	74-83-9	94.94	ND		ND	LFC	П	78000	\top
Chloroethane	NC	75-00-3	64.52	ND		ND	LFC	П	2600000	Т
Ethanol		64-17-5	46.07	24		45	1900000	П	1900000	T
Bromoethene(Vinyl bromide)	С	593-60-2	106.90	ND		ND	LFC	П	N.E.	T
Freon 11(Trichlorofluoromethane)		75-69-4	137.40	ND		ND	5600000	П	5600000	\top
Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propanol)	NC	67-63-0	60.10	1.8		4.5	980000	П	980000	Т
Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethan	NC	76-13-1	187.40	ND		ND	7700000	П	7700000	\top
Acetone	NC	67-64-1	58.08	7.3		17	590000	П	2400000	\top
1,1-Dichloroethene	NC	75-35-4	96.94	ND		ND	790000	П	790000	\top
Acetonitrile	NC	75-05-8	41.00	ND		ND	34000	П	67000	\top
Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA)		75-65-0	74.12	2.3		6.9	300000	П	300000	\top
Bromoethane(Ethyl bromide)		74-96-4	108.00	ND		ND	880000	Н	880000	+
3-Chloropropene(Allyl chloride)	С	107-05-1	76.53	ND		ND	3100	П	3100	+
Carbon disulfide	NC	75-15-0	76.14	ND		ND	3100	Н	62000	+
Methylene chloride	С	75-09-2	84.94	ND		ND	LFC	П	87000	+
Acrylonitrile	C	107-13-1	53.00	ND		ND	2200	\Box	4300	+
Methyl-tert-butyl ether(MTBE)	С	1634-04-4	88.15	ND		ND	N.E.	Н	N.E.	+
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene		156-60-5	96.94	ND		ND	790000	\Box	790000	+
n-Hexane	NC	110-54-3	86.17	ND		ND	180000	Н	1800000	+
1,1-Dichloroethane	С	75-34-3	98.96	ND		ND	400000	\Box	400000	+
Vinyl acetate	NC	108-05-4	86.00	ND		ND	14000	Н	N.E.	+
2-Butanone(MEK)	NC	78-93-3	72.10	0.60		1.8	590000	\Box	590000	+
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene		156-59-2	96.94	ND		ND	790000	Н	790000	+
Ethyl acetate	NC	141-78-6	88.10	ND		ND	1400000	\Box	1400000	+
Chloroform	C	67-66-3	119.40	ND		ND	9800	\vdash	240000	+
Tetrahydrofuran	NC	109-99-9	72.11	ND		ND	590000	Н	590000	+
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NC	71-55-6	133.40	ND		ND ND	1900000	Н	1900000	+
Cyclohexane	NC NC	110-82-7	84.16	ND		ND	1000000	\vdash	1000000	+
2.2.4-Trimethylpentane(Isooctane)	NC 	540-84-1	114.20	ND ND		ND ND	N.E.	\vdash	N.E.	+
,								\vdash		+
Carbon tetrachloride	C NC	56-23-5	153.80 100.20	ND ND		ND ND	13000 350000	$\vdash \vdash$	63000 2000000	+
n-Heptane		142-82-5						$\vdash \vdash$		+
1,2-Dichloroethane	С	107-06-2	98.96	ND	-	ND	4000	$\vdash \vdash$	200000	+
Benzene	С	71-43-2	78.11	ND	_	ND	320	\sqcup	3200	+
Trichloroethene	С	79-01-6	131.40	ND	_	ND	130000	\sqcup	540000	+
1,2-Dichloropropane	С	78-87-5	113.00	ND	-	ND	LFC	Ш	350000	+
Methyl Methacrylate	NC	80-62-6	100.12	ND	-	ND	410000	\sqcup	410000	+
Bromodichloromethane	C	75-27-4	163.80	ND	1	ND	N.E.	1 1	N.E.	1



10801 Southern Loop Blvd, Pineville, NC 28134 Phone/Fax: (704)525-2205 / (704)525-2382 http://www.EMSL.com_charlottelab@emsl.com

Attn: Ogaga Tebehaevu, CSP East Carolina University 211 South Jarvis Street Suite 102 Greenville, NC 27858

Project: Brewster Building

EMSL Order #: 411907932 EMSL Sample #: 411907932-1 Customer ID: EACU29 Customer PO: Not Available

Phone: 252-328-6166 Fax: Not Available Date Collected: 8/6/2019 Date Received: 8/8/2019

Sample ID: Brewster A131

Analysis Initial	Analysis Date 08/08/2019	Analyst Init. DK	Lab File ID A2766.D	Canister ID HD5526	Sample Vol. 250 cc	Dil. Factor 1

NIOSH and OSHA Exposure Limit Comparisons

	Tox.			Result		Result	NIOSH REL		OSHA PE	HA PEL	
Target Compounds	Basis	CAS#	MW	ppbv	Q	ug/m3	ug/m3	>	ug/m3	>	
1,4-Dioxane	С	123-91-1	88.12	ND		ND	3600		360000	Т	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone(MIBK)	NC	108-10-1	100.20	0.82		3.4	200000		410000	\top	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene**	С	10061-01-5	111.00	ND		ND	4500		N.E.	\top	
Toluene	NC	108-88-3	92.14	ND		ND	380000		750000	\top	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene**	С	10061-02-6	111.00	ND		ND	4500		N.E.	Т	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	С	79-00-5	133.40	ND		ND	55000		55000	\top	
2-Hexanone(MBK)	NC	591-78-6	100.10	ND		ND	4100		410000	\top	
Tetrachloroethene	С	127-18-4	165.80	ND		ND	LFC		680000	\top	
Dibromochloromethane		124-48-1	208.30	ND		ND	N.E.		N.E.	\top	
1,2-Dibromoethane	С	106-93-4	187.80	ND		ND	350		150000	\top	
Chlorobenzene	NC	108-90-7	112.60	ND		ND	N.E.		350000	\top	
Ethylbenzene	С	100-41-4	106.20	ND		ND	430000		430000	\top	
Xylene (p,m)	NC	1330-20-7	106.20	ND		ND	430000		430000	\top	
Xylene (Ortho)	NC	95-47-6	106.20	ND		ND	430000		430000	\top	
Styrene	NC	100-42-5	104.10	ND		ND	210000		430000	\top	
Isopropylbenzene (cumene)	NC	98-82-8	120.19	ND		ND	250000		250000	Т	
Bromoform	С	75-25-2	252.80	ND		ND	5200		5200	\top	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	С	79-34-5	167.90	ND		ND	6900		34000	Т	
4-Ethyltoluene		622-96-8	120.20	ND		ND	N.E.		N.E.	Т	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	NC	108-67-8	120.20	ND		ND	120000		120000	\top	
2-Chlorotoluene		95-49-8	126.60	ND		ND	260000		N.E.	\top	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	NC	95-63-6	120.20	ND		ND	120000		120000	\top	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		541-73-1	147.00	ND		ND	N.E.		N.E.	Т	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	С	106-46-7	147.00	ND		ND	LFC		450000	\top	
Benzyl chloride	С	100-44-7	126.00	ND		ND	5200		5200	Т	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NC	95-50-1	147.00	ND		ND	300000		300000	\top	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NC	120-82-1	181.50	ND		ND	37000		N.E.		
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	С	87-68-3	260.80	ND		ND	210		N.E.	Т	
Naphthalene	С	91-20-3	128.17	ND		ND	52000		52000	\top	
**The concentrations of each isomer should be added	if multiple	isomers are		The > colu	mn is u	sed to flag exceed	lences as marked	1		1	

^{**}The concentrations of each isomer should be added if multiple isomers are present and compared to the total screening level.

Exposure Limit Definitions

REL= Recommended Exposure Limit, PEL= Permissable Exposure Limit

Agency Definitions

NIOSH= The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) General Industry Air Contaminants Standard ND = Non Detect

Toxicity Class (EPA Regionional Screening Levels (RSL) Table, Nov 2018)

Carcinogenic (C) Exceedence

NS= No Sscreening Value **Qualifier Definitions**

Compound Exposure Definitions

NE= No Limit Established LFC= Lowest Feasible Concentration

B = Compound also found in method blank. E= Estimated concentration exceeding upper calibration range.

D= Result reported from diluted analysis.

Value exceeds the theoretical risk that 1 additional case of cancer will occur in a population of 1 million than statistically expected. Thus is a theoretical risk and not an actual epidemiological one

NonCarcinogenic (NC) Exceedence

Value exceeds the theoretical risk that 1 in a population of 100,000 will experience deliterious health effects. Thus is a theoretical risk and not an actual epidemiological one.

2 of 4 V125 Page 2 of 4 411907932-1 RO



10801 Southern Loop Blvd, Pineville, NC 28134 Phone/Fax: (704)525-2205 / (704)525-2382 http://www.EMSL.com_charlottelab@emsl.com

Attn: Ogaga Tebehaevu, CSP East Carolina University 211 South Jarvis Street Suite 102 Greenville, NC 27858

Project: Brewster Building

EMSL Order #: 411907932

EMSL Sample #: 411907932-1

Customer ID: EACU29

Customer PO: Not Available

Phone: 252-328-6166
Fax: Not Available
Date Collected: 8/6/2019
Date Received: 8/8/2019

Sample ID: Brewster A131

<u>Analysis</u>	Analysis Date	Analyst Init.	Lab File ID	Canister ID	Sample Vol.	Dil. Factor
Initial	08/08/2019	DK	A2766.D	HD5526	250 cc	1

North Carolina DEQ DWM- Residential Vapor Intrusion Screening Concentrations

	Tox.	Tox.		Result		Result	Sub Slab/ Ext.		Indoor Air	
Target Compounds	Basis	CAS#	MW	ppbv	Q	ug/m3	ug/m3	>	ug/m3	>
Propylene	NC	115-07-1	42.08	ND		ND	21000	П	630	
Freon 12(Dichlorodifluoromethane)	NC	75-71-8	120.90	1.0		5.1	700		21.0	
Freon 114(1,2-Dichlorotetrafluoroethan		76-14-2	170.90	ND		ND	N.E.	П	N.E.	
Chloromethane	NC	74-87-3	50.49	0.59		1.2	630		19.0	
n-Butane		106-97-8	58.12	ND		ND	N.E.	\Box	N.E.	
Vinyl chloride	С	75-01-4	62.50	ND		ND	56.0		0.170	L
1,3-Butadiene	С	106-99-0	54.09	ND		ND	14.0	_	0.0940	L
Bromomethane	NC	74-83-9	94.94	ND		ND	35.0	_	1.00	L
Chloroethane	NC	75-00-3	64.52	ND		ND	70000	_	2100	L
Ethanol		64-17-5	46.07	24		45	N.E.		N.E.	\perp
Bromoethene(Vinyl bromide)	С	593-60-2	106.90	ND		ND	21.0	_	0.0880	L
Freon 11(Trichlorofluoromethane)		75-69-4	137.40	ND		ND	N.E.	_	N.E.	L
Isopropyl alcohol(2-Propanol)	NC	67-63-0	60.10	1.8		4.5	1400		42.0	L
Freon 113(1,1,2-Trichlorotrifluoroethan	NC	76-13-1	187.40	ND		ND	35000		1000	
Acetone	NC	67-64-1	58.08	7.3		17	220000		6500	
1,1-Dichloroethene	NC	75-35-4	96.94	ND		ND	1400	П	42.0	
Acetonitrile	NC	75-05-8	41.00	ND		ND	420	П	13.0	Г
Tertiary butyl alcohol(TBA)		75-65-0	74.12	2.3		6.9	N.E.	╗	N.E.	Г
Bromoethane(Ethyl bromide)		74-96-4	108.00	ND		ND	35.0	╛	1.00	Т
3-Chloropropene(Allyl chloride)	С	107-05-1	76.53	ND		ND	7.00	╗	0.210	Г
Carbon disulfide	NC	75-15-0	76.14	ND	T	ND	4900	┪	150	\vdash
Methylene chloride	С	75-09-2	84.94	ND		ND	4200	ℸ	100	Т
Acrylonitrile	С	107-13-1	53.00	ND	T	ND	14.0	┪	0.0410	\vdash
Methyl-tert-butyl ether(MTBE)	С	1634-04-4	88.15	ND	\top	ND	3600	┪	11.0	\vdash
trans-1.2-Dichloroethene	-	156-60-5	96.94	ND	 	ND	N.E.	┪	N.E.	\vdash
n-Hexane	NC	110-54-3	86.17	ND	T	ND	4900	┪	150	\vdash
1.1-Dichloroethane	C	75-34-3	98.96	ND	+	ND	580	┪	1.80	\vdash
Vinyl acetate	NC	108-05-4	86.00	ND		ND	1400	┪	42.0	\vdash
2-Butanone(MEK)	NC	78-93-3	72.10	0.60		1.8	35000	┪	1000	\vdash
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		156-59-2	96.94	ND		ND	N.E.	┪	N.E.	\vdash
Ethyl acetate	NC	141-78-6	88.10	ND	+	ND	490	┪	15.0	⊢
Chloroform	C	67-66-3	119.40	ND	+-	ND	41.0	\dashv	0.120	\vdash
Tetrahydrofuran	NC	109-99-9	72.11	ND	+-	ND	14000	\dashv	420	\vdash
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NC NC	71-55-6	133.40	ND ND	+	ND	35000	\dashv	1000	\vdash
Cyclohexane	NC NC	110-82-7	84.16	ND	+-	ND	42000	\dashv	1300	⊢
2,2,4-Trimethylpentane(Isooctane)		540-84-1	114.20	ND ND	+	ND	N.E.	\dashv	N.E.	\vdash
Carbon tetrachloride	C	56-23-5	153.80	ND ND	+	ND	160	\dashv	0.470	\vdash
n-Heptane	NC NC	142-82-5	100.20	ND ND	+	ND ND	2800	\dashv	83.0	⊢
1.2-Dichloroethane	C	107-06-2	98.96	ND ND	+	ND ND	36.0	\dashv	0.110	\vdash
-,	C	71-43-2	78.11	ND ND	+	ND ND	36.0 120	\dashv	0.110	\vdash
Benzene					+-			\dashv		\vdash
Trichloroethene	С	79-01-6	131.40	ND	+	ND	14.0	\dashv	0.420	\vdash
1,2-Dichloropropane	С	78-87-5	113.00	ND	-	ND	28.0	_	0.760	\vdash
Methyl Methacrylate	NC	80-62-6	100.12	ND	+	ND	4900	_	150	\vdash
Bromodichloromethane	C	75-27-4	163.80	ND		ND	25.0		0.0760	上



10801 Southern Loop Blvd, Pineville, NC 28134 Phone/Fax: (704)525-2205 / (704)525-2382 http://www.EMSL.com_charlottelab@emsl.com

Attn: Ogaga Tebehaevu, CSP East Carolina University 211 South Jarvis Street Suite 102 Greenville, NC 27858

Project: Brewster Building

EMSL Order #: 411907932 EMSL Sample #: 411907932-1 Customer ID: EACU29 Customer PO: Not Available

Phone: 252-328-6166 Fax: Not Available Date Collected: 8/6/2019 Date Received: 8/8/2019

Sample ID: Brewster A131

Analysis	Analysis Date	Analyst Init.	Lab File ID	Canister ID	Sample Vol.	Dil. Factor
Initial	08/08/2019	DK	A2766.D	HD5526	250 cc	1

North Carolina DEQ DWM- Residential Vapor Intrusion Screening Concentrations

	Tox.			Result		Result	Sub Slab/ Ex	t.	Indoor Air	
Target Compounds	Basis	CAS#	MW	ppbv	Q	ug/m3	ug/m3	>	ug/m3	>
1,4-Dioxane	С	123-91-1	88.12	ND		ND	190		0.560	Т
4-Methyl-2-pentanone(MIBK)	NC	108-10-1	100.20	0.82		3.4	21000	П	630	Т
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene**	С	10061-01-5	111.00	ND		ND	140	П	0.700	Т
Toluene	NC	108-88-3	92.14	ND		ND	35000		1000	Т
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene**	С	10061-02-6	111.00	ND		ND	140		0.700	Т
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	С	79-00-5	133.40	ND		ND	1.40	П	0.0420	Т
2-Hexanone(MBK)	NC	591-78-6	100.10	ND		ND	210	П	6.30	Т
Tetrachloroethene	С	127-18-4	165.80	ND		ND	280	П	8.30	Т
Dibromochloromethane		124-48-1	208.30	ND		ND	N.E.	П	N.E.	Т
1,2-Dibromoethane	С	106-93-4	187.80	ND		ND	1.60		0.00470	Т
Chlorobenzene	NC	108-90-7	112.60	ND		ND	350		10.0	Т
Ethylbenzene	С	100-41-4	106.20	ND		ND	370	П	1.10	Т
Xylene (p,m)	NC	1330-20-7	106.20	ND		ND	700		21.0	
Xylene (Ortho)	NC	95-47-6	106.20	ND		ND	700	П	21.0	Т
Styrene	NC	100-42-5	104.10	ND		ND	7000		210	
Isopropylbenzene (cumene)	NC	98-82-8	120.19	ND		ND	2800		83.0	Т
Bromoform	С	75-25-2	252.80	ND		ND	850	П	2.60	Т
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	С	79-34-5	167.90	ND		ND	16.0	П	0.0480	Т
4-Ethyltoluene		622-96-8	120.20	ND		ND	N.E.	П	N.E.	Т
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	NC	108-67-8	120.20	ND		ND	420		13.0	
2-Chlorotoluene		95-49-8	126.60	ND		ND	N.E.		N.E.	Т
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	NC	95-63-6	120.20	ND		ND	420	П	13.0	Т
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		541-73-1	147.00	ND		ND	N.E.		N.E.	Т
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	С	106-46-7	147.00	ND		ND	85.0	П	0.260	Т
Benzyl chloride	С	100-44-7	126.00	ND		ND	7.00	П	0.0570	Т
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	NC	95-50-1	147.00	ND		ND	1400		42.0	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	NC	120-82-1	181.50	ND		ND	14.0		0.420	
Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	С	87-68-3	260.80	ND		ND	43.0	П	0.130	Т
Naphthalene	С	91-20-3	128.17	ND		ND	21.0		0.0830	T
**The concentrations of each isomer should be added	if multiple	isomers are		The > colu	mn is u	sed to flag exceed	dences as marked	1		1

^{**}The concentrations of each isomer should be added if multiple isomers are

present and compared to the total screening level. Exposure Limit Definitions

PEL= Permissable Exposure Limit

Agency Definitions

North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

NC DEQ, Division of Waste Management Vapor Intrusion Screening Concentrations (February,

Toxicity Class (EPA Regionional Screening Levels (RSL) Table, Nov 2018)

Carcinogenic (C) Exceedence

Compound Exposure Definitions

NE= No Limit Established LFC= Lowest Feasible Concentration NS= No Sscreening Value

Qualifier Definitions

ND = Non Detect

B = Compound also found in method blank.

E= Estimated concentration exceeding upper calibration range.

D= Result reported from diluted analysis.

Value exceeds the theoretical risk that 1 additional case of cancer will occur in a population of 1 million than statistically expected. Thus is a theoretical risk and not an actual epidemiological one.

NonCarcinogenic (NC) Exceedence

Value exceeds the theoretical risk that 1 in a population of 100,000 will experience deliterious health effects. Thus is a theoretical risk and not an actual epidemiological one.



08/09/19 ACTIVATED CHARCOAL RADON TEST #6883658

Radon Test Result: 0.6 ±0.3 pCi/L

Test Started 08/05/19 at 8:00 am Test Ended 08/08/19 at 8:00 am Closed house conditions maintained during test.

Location 1st Floor

կավրդվիլիեկոնեսիիիիկիսկիկիսիկիկիսիհիր OGAGA TEBEHAEVU 211 S JARVIS ST STE 102 GREENVILLE, NC 27858-2052

INTERPRETING YOUR TEST RESULT

The US EPA action level for indoor radon is 4.0 pCi/L. The EPA indicates that there is little short-term risk with test results in this range (0.6 to 1.9 pCi/L). However, because radon levels fluctuate daily, as well as seasonally, you may want to retest during another season. Additionally, if you make any structural changes or start to use a lower level of the building more frequently, you should test again.

You may be able to obtain additional information about radon related subjects by calling



Air Chek PO Box 2000 Naples, NC 28760

www.radon.com

Your Test Result

This result has been rounded to one-tenth (0.1) of a pCi/L (picocurie per liter). This test result reflects the amount of radon measured in this sample AFTER it arrived at our laboratory. All analysis calculations are automatically adjusted to reflect the length of test, the amount of moisture in the sample, temperature, time from the end of test, and the amount of radiation measured. If your test kit was used prior to the Use By date, ALL the testing protocols and instructions were carefully followed, and the data recorded properly on the test packet, then it is reasonable to assume this is an accurate assessment of the average level of the radon this sample was exposed to during the test period.

Health Risks

The primary health risk from long-term exposure to radon is lung cancer. The risk of developing a lung cancer from radon exposure depends both on how much radon is present and how long you are exposed to radon. The higher the radon level or the longer the time of exposure, even if the levels are relatively low, the greater the risk. EPA has set an Action Level for radon at 4 pCi/L; however radon concentrations less than 4 pCi/L still pose some health risks. The Indoor Radon Abatement Act set a goal for indoor radon concentrations to equal the amount of radon found outdoors, which is estimated to be ~0.4 pCi/L.

Conducting Follow-up Measurements

USEPA protocol describes two general types of radon measurements: short-term tests conducted from 48 hours up to 90 days, and long-term tests that last from 91 to 365 days. Your first test (initial/screening) should be a short-term 'worst-case' screening to see if there is a potential for high exposure to radon. Screening tests should be conducted under closed-building conditions, in the lowest lived-in area in the house, because the highest concentrations of radon will usually be found in a room closest to the underlying soil. Tests made under these conditions are less likely to miss a house with a potential for high concentrations. On the other hand, if the results of worst-case screening tests are very low, there is a high probability that the average annual concentrations in the house are also low.

(Continued on Back)

ENVIRONMENTAL
HEALTH & SAFETY

2019 AUG 28 AM 9:36

Most states have a radon office to assist citizens with general questions about radon and radon reduction techniques. Many states maintain a list of licensed or certified radon testing and mitigation professionals. You can visit www.state-radon.info to find the list of state radon contacts, as well as links of orditional radon resources in your area.

08/09/19 ACTIVATED CHARCOAL RADON TEST #6883659

Radon Test Result: < 0.3 ±0.3 pCi/L

Test Started 08/05/19 at 9:00 am
Test Ended 08/08/19 at 9:00 am
Closed house conditions maintained during test.

3RDFL

INTERPRETING YOUR TEST RESULT

The US EPA action level for indoor radon is 4.0 pCi/L. Test results in this range(0.5 pCi/L or less) are, for all practical purposes, equivalent to the radon levels found in fresh air. However, if you make any structural changes or start to use a lower level of the building more frequently you should test again.

You may be able to obtain additional information about radon related subjects by calling



Air Chek PO Box 2000 Naples, NC 28760

www.radon.com

Your Test Result

This result has been rounded to one-tenth (0.1) of a pCi/L (picocurie per liter). This test result reflects the amount of radon measured in this sample AFTER it arrived at our laboratory. All analysis calculations are automatically adjusted to reflect the length of test, the amount of moisture in the sample, temperature, time from the end of test, and the amount of radiation measured. If your test kit was used prior to the Use By date, ALL the testing protocols and instructions were carefully followed, and the data recorded properly on the test packet, then it is reasonable to assume this is an accurate assessment of the average level of the radon this sample was exposed to during the test period.

Health Risks

The primary health risk from long-term exposure to radon is lung cancer. The risk of developing a lung cancer from radon exposure depends both on how much radon is present and how long you are exposed to radon. The higher the radon level or the longer the time of exposure, even if the levels are relatively low, the greater the risk. EPA has set an Action Level for radon at 4 pCi/L; however radon concentrations less than 4 pCi/L still pose some health risks. The Indoor Radon Abatement Act set a goal for indoor radon concentrations to equal the amount of radon found outdoors, which is estimated to be ~ 0.4 pCi/L.

Conducting Follow-up Measurements

USEPA protocol describes two general types of radon measurements: short—term tests conducted from 48 hours up to 90 days, and long—term tests that last from 91 to 365 days. Your first test (initial/screening) should be a short—term 'worst—case' screening to see if there is a potential for high exposure to radon. Screening tests should be conducted under closed—building conditions, in the lowest lived—in area in the house, because the highest concentrations of radon will usually be found in a room closest to the underlying soil. Tests made under these conditions are less likely to miss a house with a potential for high concentrations. On the other hand, if the results of worst—case screening tests are very low, there is a high probability that the average annual concentrations in the house are also low.

(Continued on Back)

Most states have a radon office to assist citizens with general questions about radon and radon reduction techniques. Many states maintain a list of licensed or certified radon testing and mitigation professionals. You can visit www.state-radon.info to find the list of state radon contacts, as well as links to additional radon resources in your area.

08/09/19 ACTIVATED CHARCOAL RADON TEST #6883660

Radon Test Result: < 0.3 ±0.3 pCi/L

Test Started 08/05/19 at 9:00 am
Test Ended 08/08/19 at 9:00 am
Closed house conditions maintained during test.

4TH

INTERPRETING YOUR TEST RESULT

The US EPA action level for indoor radon is 4.0 pCi/L. Test results in this range(0.5 pCi/L or less) are, for all practical purposes, equivalent to the radon levels found in fresh air. However, if you make any structural changes or start to use a lower level of the building more frequently you should test again.

You may be able to obtain additional information about radon related subjects by calling



Air Chek PO Box 2000 Naples, NC 28760

www.radon.com

Your Test Result

This result has been rounded to one—tenth (0.1) of a pCi/L (picocurie per liter). This test result reflects the amount of radon measured in this sample AFTER it arrived at our laboratory. All analysis calculations are automatically adjusted to reflect the length of test, the amount of moisture in the sample, temperature, time from the end of test, and the amount of radiation measured. If your test kit was used prior to the Use By date, ALL the testing protocols and instructions were carefully followed, and the data recorded properly on the test packet, then it is reasonable to assume this is an accurate assessment of the average level of the radon this sample was exposed to during the test period.

Health Risks

The primary health risk from long-term exposure to radon is lung cancer. The risk of developing a lung cancer from radon exposure depends both on how much radon is present and how long you are exposed to radon. The higher the radon level or the longer the time of exposure, even if the levels are relatively low, the greater the risk. EPA has set an Action Level for radon at 4 pCi/L; however radon concentrations less than 4 pCi/L still pose some health risks. The Indoor Radon Abatement Act set a goal for indoor radon concentrations to equal the amount of radon found outdoors, which is estimated to be ~ 0.4 pCi/L.

Conducting Follow-up Measurements

USEPA protocol describes two general types of radon measurements: short-term tests conducted from 48 hours up to 90 days, and long-term tests that last from 91 to 365 days. Your first test (initial/screening) should be a short-term 'worst-case' screening to see if there is a potential for high exposure to radon. Screening tests should be conducted under closed-building conditions, in the lowest lived-in area in the house, because the highest concentrations of radon will usually be found in a room closest to the underlying soil. Tests made under these conditions are less likely to miss a house with a potential for high concentrations. On the other hand, if the results of worst-case screening tests are very low, there is a high probability that the average annual concentrations in the house are also low.

(Continued on Back)

Most states have a radon office to assist citizens with general questions about radon and radon reduction techniques. Many states maintain a list of licensed or certified radon testing and mitigation professionals. You can visit www.state-radon.info to find the list of state radon contacts, as well as links to additional radon resources in your area.





Cable bank – for cable storage



Closed cable outlet



Blocked Vents 1



Blocked Vents 2



Space heater



Stained window blind and window



Air intake louver (close view)



Air intake louver



Dirt on tile and stained wall



Debris and stain on window



Taped supply vents



Taped supply vents